

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the careful consideration given the present application. The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office action, and amended as necessary to more clearly and particularly describe the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 has been amended.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 2 and 9 of Wakiyama et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,667,764). If necessary, Applicants will submit a terminal disclaimer after the claims are otherwise deemed allowable.

Claims 1 and 4-6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(b) as being unpatentable over Kivolowitz (U.S. Patent No. 5,881,321). For at least the following reasons, the Examiner's rejection is respectfully traversed.

Kivolowitz does not disclose or suggest "a storage means in the surveillance camera formed of a rewritable non-volatile memory for recording data, including operation history of the surveillance camera, wherein the status amount recorded in said temporary storage means is recorded in said storage means as the operation history of the surveillance camera at constant time intervals of a predetermined time period which is longer than said predetermined time span" as recited in claim 1.

Kivolowitz discloses that operating parameters of the camera 10, which may be relevant to the generation of a recorded image, can be stored in a latch 28 and then transmitted to a remote site, where the information is provided to an image generating system and/or stored in a suitable memory 44 for post-processing applications.

Kivolowitz fails to disclose or suggest that the operating parameters of the camera are recorded in the memory 44 at constant time intervals of a predetermined time period which are longer than the regular latching intervals of the latch circuit 28. Thus, Kivolowitz does not disclose or suggest all the elements of the claimed invention.

Kivolowitz fails to disclose or suggest that a rewritable non-volatile memory that records the operation history of the camera is located in the camera. Thus, Kivolowitz does not disclose or suggest all the elements of the claimed invention.

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is determined that the application is not in a condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to initiate a telephone interview with the undersigned attorney to expedite prosecution of the present application.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. 33623.

Respectfully submitted,  
PEARNE & GORDON LLP

By:   
Suzanne B. Gagnon – Reg. No. 48,924

1801 East 9th Street  
Suite 1200  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108  
(216) 579-1700

Date: August 31, 2005